

$\frac{\textbf{REINFORCED}}{\textbf{OPERATIONS}} \frac{\textbf{RESIN}}{\textbf{OPERATIONS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DI	SCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 1150170 DATE: 12/12/12 ARRIVE: 09:40 DEPART: 10:30						
FACILITY NAME: ANDROS BOATWORKS						
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 5697 PINKNEY AVE					
	SARASOTA 34233-24	127				
Email: don@androsb	ONALD EGGEBRECHT poats.com		PHONE: (941)351-97 Mobile: (941)809-3311 PHONE: (941)351-9702 Mobile: (941)809-3311	02		
NAME INCOMPANIAN	COSENI LANGE CELATRIC (al	· · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
PART 1: <u>INSPECTION</u> IN COMPLIANCE	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	<u> </u>	NIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
 (check ☑ appropriat Does the facility of and emissions unit 62-210.300(3)(a) of (Rule 62-210.300) Does the facility of not cause, suffer, and odor? Does the combined in any consecutive Does the owner/of used on a monthly Does the owner/of of at least five year Is this polyester re Reasonably Availate 	perate any emissions units other ts which are exempt from permit or (b), F.A.C., or have been exer (3)(c)5.a., F.A.C.)	r than the polyester resitting pursuant to the crimpted from permitting to the probabilition of subsection and gel-coat used to 62-210.300(3)(c)5.c., to document the (c)5.d., F.A.C.)	under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? ction 62-296.320(2), F.A.C. and use or contribute to an objectiona exceed 76,000 pounds (38 tons) F.A.C.) quantity of resin and gel-coat ction, these records for a period atile organic compound (VOC) ndard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.	ts □Yes □ No ble □Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No		

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
 Does the owner or operator voluntarily involved in product fabrication on meth a) lessening the exposure of fresh resir b) maintaining spray lay-up equipment c) monitoring the coating thickness to d) implementing inventory control prace) managing cleanup solvents?	a surfaces to the air? t to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? avoid excessive resin/get coat application? ctices to prevent spillage?	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process eq		□Yes ⊠No			
 b) alterations to existing process equ c) replacement of existing equipmen recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the 	□Yes ⊠No				
notification form and appropriate local program office?	□Yes □No				
//s//Michael Storino	12/12/2012				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_			

COMMENTS: Michael Storino conducted a compliance inspection following a complaint by the adjacent fire department. Complained of excessive objectionable odors. Arrived and detected styrene odor's at the street. Walked to spray booth and odor's became stronger. Found the spray booth bay doors opened at bottom approximately 2 feet. Freshly sprayed piece of fiberglass was located outside in the air and emitting styrene vapors. Inside booth, workers were cleaning the spray gun and using rollers to apply fiberglass to a mold. Styrene vapors were very strong inside the spray booth. Spoke with owner regarding the odors, stated they would likely have to move their business due to the proximity of the neighbor and their spray booth. Discussed alternate work schedule to have spraying done during the early morning to be stopped by 7 a.m., the time the FD staff begins to arrive. Will monitor this and see if it is affective. If not, then additional control's will be needed to lower the odors impacting the adjacent property.

Michael Storino met with Fire Dept facility manager Frank Caruso and Sarasota County Safety and Risk representative Randy Hromyak to discuss the odor issues. They stated 12/11/2012 at approximately 8:30 a.m. the styrene odors were very heavy and caused their eyes to water, throat's to bother them and nausea in some firemen. Frank stated the spray booth doors appeared to be closed when the odors were detected. Frank further stated that the odors had not been lower with the exception of several incidents over the past year. The last 2 days were very bad.

Spoke with Andro's owner, Don Eggebrecht, and provided his cell to the FD manager so that any future odor issues could be addressed quickly..